

# PUBLIC SUBMISSION

<b>As of:</b> July 16, 2015
<b>Received:</b> July 08, 2015
<b>Status:</b> Pending_Post
<b>Tracking No.</b> 1jz-8jvb-ekev
<b>Comments Due:</b> July 21, 2015
<b>Submission Type:</b> Web

**Docket:** EBSA-2010-0050

Definition of the Term ‘Fiduciary’; Conflict of Interest Rule—Retirement Investment Advice; Notice of proposed rulemaking and withdrawal of previous proposed rule.

**Comment On:** EBSA-2010-0050-0205

Definition of Fiduciary; Conflict of Interest Rule-Retirement Investment Advice and Related Proposed Prohibited Transaction Exemptions; Hearing and Comment Period Extension

**Document:** EBSA-2010-0050-DRAFT-0791

Comment on FR Doc # 2015-14921

---

## Submitter Information

**Name:** Richard Franke

---

## General Comment

Seems to me that any conflicts of interest should not be allowed. Is there a set degree of conflict that is allowable? And who shall be the person to determine that each and every bit of compensation given to someone with a conflict is not being abused? We have seen in MANY forms of our government where conflicts of interest occur and NOT ONE is stopped or prohibited until it has gone on for years! No! Any conflict of interest should NOT be rewarded!